

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,497	08/24/200	Timothy R. Faber	CRC-148/47181-00248	3304
23569	7590 11/	29/2004	EXAMINER	
•	O COMPANY	LUK, LAWRENCE W		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1415 SOUTH ROSELLE ROAD PALATINE, IL 60067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A-4i Occasion	09/939,497	FABER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence W Luk	2838
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	September 2004.	•
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14,23 and 32</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,15-17,24-26,33 and 34</u> is/are re	jected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>4-8,18-22 and 27-31</u> is/are objected	i to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 25 U.S.C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn phonty under 55 0.5.C. 9	119(a)-(u) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
application from the International Bure	•	.
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.
		•
Attachment(s)		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/30/04.	6) Other:	- ∙

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaViolette (3,812,370) in combination with Kopf, III (2002/0139741).

As to claim 1, LaViolette disclose in figure 1, column 4, lines 48-68, an improved filter assembly comprising: a filter housing (11) having at least two filter (23, 24) mounting zones for receiving at least two filter assemblies, so as to define, in the aggregate, a filter assembly, but fails to teach said filter assembly comprising a filter body having a given peripheral configuration and a filter gasket configured for interfitting about a periphery of said filter body for sealingly engaging said filter body relative to said tilter housing in response to forces encountered by said filter assembly both upon assembly and in operation.

Kopf, III disclose in figure 2, 9 & 9A, column 1, [0014, 0032], said filter assembly comprising a filter body having a given peripheral configuration and a filter gasket configured for interfitting about a periphery of said filter body for sealingly engaging said filter body relative to said tilter housing in response to forces encountered by said filter assembly both upon assembly and in operation.

It would have been obvious to person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the device of LaViolette to include a filter assembly comprising a filter body having a given peripheral configuration and a filter gasket configured for interfitting as taught by Kopf, III for the purpose of increasing the filter area.

As to claim 2, LaViolette in view of Kopf, III are applied supra, and Kopf, III further disclose in column 2, [0024], said filter gaskets are comprised of a silicone material.

As to claim 3, LaViolette in view of Kopf, III are applied supra, and Kopf, III further disclose in column 2, [0029], each of said filter bodies has a peripheral recessed portion for positioning, mounting and bearing against a complementary edge portion of said filter gasket.

As to claims 15 and 24, LaViolette in view of Kopf, III are applied supra, and Kopf, III further disclose in column 2, [0031, 0032, 0033 & 0034], sealingly engaging said filter body relative to said filter housing in response to forces encountered by said filter assembly both during assembly and in operation.

As to claims 16 and 25, LaViolette in view of Kopf, III are applied supra, and Kopf, III further disclose in column 2, [0024], said sealingly engaging includes intertitting a filter gasket comprised of a silicone material with each filter element.

As to claims 33 and 34, LaViolette in view of Kopf, III are applied supra, and Kopf, III further disclose in column 2, [0031, 0032, 0033 & 0034], interfitting a filter gasket about a periphery of said tilter body for sealingly engaging said filter body

Application/Control Number: 09/939,497 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

relative to said filter housing in response to forces encountered by said filter assemblies both upon assembly and in operation; and interfitting said filter assemblies in said filter mounting zones, each of said filter mounting zones receiving one of said tilter assemblies.

3. Claims 17 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaViolette (3,812,370) in combination with Kopf, III (2002/0139741) as discussed above, and further in combination with Fichter (4,217,121).

As to claims 16 and 26, Laviolette and Kopf, III disclose the elements as claimed, except for said sealing includes positioning peripheral recessed portion of each filter body for bearing against a complementary edge portion of one of said filter gaskets.

Fichter disclose in column 4, lines 22-25, said sealing includes positioning peripheral recessed portion of each filter body for bearing against a complementary edge portion of one of said filter gaskets.

It would have been obvious to person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the device of LaViolette and Kopf, III to include said sealing includes positioning peripheral recessed portion of each filter body for bearing against a complementary edge portion of one of said filter gaskets as taught by Fichter for forming a seal prevent the recess surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9-14, 23 and 32 are allowed for reasons record.

4. Claims 4-8, 18-22 and 27-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. The prior art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest that:

As to claim 4, a molded coarse hole diffuser, defining a combined diffuser and spacer integrally molded as a single, one-piece unit, said coarse hole diffuser including means for engaging and interfitting with said filter housing in close overlying engagement with said small hole diffuser.

Claims 5 and 8 are dependent on claim 4.

As to claims 6, 20 and 29, said filter housing comprises a frame-like, one-piece molded member having a recessed area for receiving each of said filter elements and an associated gasket therewithin, including separate areas for cooperatively interfitting with and bearing against edges of said gaskets opposite edges thereof bearing against said filter elements, and a projecting frame-like peripheral portion extending outwardly for surrounding engagement with said filter elements, said spacer and said small hole diffuser.

Claim 7 is dependent on claim 6.

Claim 21 is dependent on claim 20.

Claim 30 is dependent on claim 29.

As to claims 18 and 27, engaging a molded coarse hole diffuser, defining a combined diffuser and spacer integrally molded as a single, one-piece unit, with said tilter housing in close overlying engagement with said small hole diffuser.

Claims 19 and 22 are dependent on claim 18.

Claims 28 and 31 are dependent on claim 27.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,497 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

Claims 4-8, 18-22 and 27-31 would be allowable if rewritten in independent from including all of the limitations of the base claim.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence W Luk whose telephone number is (571)272-2080. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571)272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LWL November 24, 2004

Lawrence hole examiner